

REMARKS

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 15, 17, 19, 20, 23, 30, 32, 35-38, 45, and 47 are amended and claims 1-8, 10-25, 27-39, and 41-47 remain in the application for consideration. In view of the remarks and amendments herein, Applicant 5 respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the subject application.

Applicant makes no representation that the cited references are prior art. This response and any remarks or comments included herein are not intended to be, and are not to be interpreted as, an admission that any cited references are prior art. Applicant reserves the right to dispose of any cited reference under 10 35 U.S.C. § 102 and/or 35 U.S.C. § 103, including but not limited to antedating any one or more of the cited references.

Interview Summary

Applicant's attorney, Chris Culberson, wishes to thank Examiner Maikhahanh 15 Nguyen for discussing this application over the phone on March 20 and April 1, 2009. During these discussions, Applicant's attorney and Examiner Nguyen discussed proposed amendments to the independent claims. The Examiner stated that the proposed amendments would obviate the current § 103 rejections and that a new search would be required. Applicant has accordingly amended the claims as 20 discussed during the interviews.

In light of these discussions, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner contact Applicant's attorney to discuss this application before issuing any subsequent rejections.

Claim Objections

Claims 8 and 20 stand objected to as including acronyms that are not explicitly defined in the claims. Without conceding the propriety of these objections, Applicant has amended these claims. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the objections to claims 8 and 20 be withdrawn.

Rejections under § 103

Claims 1-7, 10-19, 21-25, 27, 29-39, and 41- 47 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the publication Dynamic HTML: The Next Generation of User Interface Design Using HTML by Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) in view of US Pat. No. 6,125,385 to Wies, et al. (“Wies”).

Claims 8, 20, and 28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft in view of Wies and further in view of Customization of Distributed Systems Using COM, July - Sept. 1998, by Wang, et al. (“Wang”).

Without conceding the propriety of these rejections, Applicant has amended the claims as discussed during the Examiner Interviews mentioned above. Accordingly, and as agreed by the Examiner during the Examiner Interviews, Applicant submits that a *prima facie* case of obviousness with respect to the claims herein cannot be established based on the cited references. Applicant further submits that claims 1-8, 10-25, 27-39, and 41-47 are allowable.

Conclusion

All of the claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the Office issue a Notice of Allowability. If the Office’s next anticipated action is to be anything other than issuance of a Notice of Allowability, Applicant respectfully requests a telephone call for the purpose of scheduling an interview.

Respectfully Submitted,

25

Dated: April 8, 2009

By: /Christopher J. Culberson/
Christopher J. Culberson
Reg. No. 59,136
(509) 755-7266